## U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 20530

File: D2014-027

Date:

APR 1 4 2014

In re: ROSS D. HECHT, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF EOIR:

Jennifer J. Barnes, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS:

Diane H. Kier

Associate Legal Advisor

The respondent will be indefinitely suspended from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS").

On May 16, 2013, the Court of Appeals of Maryland indefinitely suspended the respondent by consent from the practice of law in Maryland. Consequently, on February 25, 2014, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office of Immigration Review petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. We granted the petition for immediate suspension on March 19, 2014.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013).

The Notice proposes that the respondent be suspended indefinitely from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct the Board to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013).

Because the proposed sanction is appropriate, in light of the respondent's suspension from the practice of law by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the Board will honor that proposal. As the respondent is currently under our March 19, 2014, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date.

ORDER: The Board hereby indefinitely suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107 (2013).

FURTHER ORDER: As the Board earlier imposed an immediate suspension order in this case, today's order of the Board becomes effective immediately. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2) (2013).

FOR THE BOARD